

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

Ronald Stolle,

Plaintiff,

Y.

John Thornton,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:12CV1488

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

VERDICT FOR DEFENDANT

We, the jury in this case, being duly impaneled and sworn, find in favor of the Defendant, John Thornton, on Plaintiff Ronald Stolle's claim, and we do so render our verdict based upon a unanimous vote. Each of us said jurors concurring in said verdict signs his/her name hereto this 14th day of January, 2014.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
14 JAN 14 AM 11:56
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN OHIO DISTRICT
AKRON

RONALD STOLLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN THORNTON,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:12CV1488

Judge John R. Adams

JURY INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Do you find that Dr. Stolle has proven by the preponderance of the evidence that Dr. Stolle's protected speech was a substantial or motivating factor in Defendant John Thornton's decision not to renew Dr. Stolle's employment contract?

CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER IN INK

YES

or

NO

If YES, proceed to Interrogatory No. 2.

If NO, enter judgment in favor of John Thornton on Defense Verdict Form.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2

Do you find from that Dr. Thornton has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he would have made the same decision not to renew Plaintiff Stolle's employment contract even in the absence of the protected speech?

CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER IN INK

YES

or

NO

If YES, enter judgment in favor of John Thornton on Defense Verdict Form

If NO, enter judgment in favor of Ronald Stolle on Plaintiff Verdict Form.